# Screening of insecticidal activity of brown macroalgal extracts against *Dysdercus cingulatus* (Fab.) (Hemiptera: Pyrrhocoridae)

# A. Asaraja and K. Sahayaraj

#### **ABSTRACT**

The marine algae, popularly known as seaweeds are one of the most important marine resources of the world. The biocidal activity of hexane (HE), chloroform (CH), methanol (ME) and water (WA) extracts (100, 200, 400, 600 and 800 ppm) of brown algae (Ochrophyta), Sargassum wightii (Greville ex J. Agardh) (SW) and Padina pavonica (Linn.) Thivy (PP) was assessed against Dysdercus cingulatus (Fab.). GC-MS results revealed that S. wightii showed the presence of stigmastan-6, 22-dien, 3, 5-dedihydro- (71.34%) whereas P. pavonica showed hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester (43.26%). The chloroform extract of S. wightii caused more nymphal mortality at 96 hrs (LC<sub>50</sub>= 631.8 ppm) than P. pavonica (LC<sub>50</sub>= 1062.5 ppm). Further, column chromatographic fractions of S. wightii (F164 - F323) (LC<sub>50</sub>= 175.2 ppm) and P. pavonica (F800 - F965) (LC<sub>50</sub>= 292.7 ppm) showed more nymphal mortality. After 96 hrs, live insects were maintained with normal food and life parameters like adult longevity, mating period, fecundity, hatchability and incubation period were recorded. In S. wightii chloroform extract, male longevity and water extracts male longevity (df= 5, 27; F= 8.177; p= 0.005); female longevity (df=5, 24; F= 6.838; p=0.005). Mating period was highly prolonged by the water extracts. Fecundity and hatchability were highly reduced at 800 ppm both by chloroform and methanol extracts. In P. pavonica, mating period was highly prolonged by the water extract; fecundity and hatchability were highly reduced by hexane and the incubation period was slightly increased by extracts. Hence, these algal extracts can be used as biocide in cotton pest management.

MS History: 25.9.2013 (Received)-28.11.2013 (Revised)-10.12.2013 (Accepted)

**Key words**: Cotton pest management, *Dysdercus cingulatus*, nymphicidal activity, *Padina pavonica*, secondary metabolites, *Sargassum wightii* 

#### INTRODUCTION

Macroscopic marine algae, popularly known as 'seaweeds', are one of the important living resources of the ocean. They are found attached to the bottom in relatively shallow coastal waters. Algae contain rich and largely entrapped sources of a vast assortment of biologically active substances (Daoudi et al., 2001; Huang and Lee, 2005; Gouveia et al., 2008; Ghosh et al., 2009; Seenivasan et al., 2010; Chojnacka et al., 2012; Munirasu et al., 2013). Chemical pesticides have played a significant role in increasing the agricultural production and also in the protection of crops from damage caused by insect pests. It has been estimated that hardly 0.1% of the agrochemical used in crop protection reach the target pests and the remaining 99.9% enter into the environment (Mancini et al., 2005; Remor et al., 2009; Sharma et al., 2012; Goulson, 2013; Abang et al., 2013), human beings

(Wesseling *et al.*, 2001; Konradsen *et al.*, 2003; Gupta, 2004; Shrestha *et al.*, 2010; Hossain *et al.*, 2010; Gulati *et al.*, 2010; Tholkappian and Rajendran, 2011; Simon Mburu *et al.*, 2013; Chaturvedi *et al.*, 2013), domestic animals (Carrea, 2002; Natala and Ochoje, 2009; Chaturvedi *et al.*, 2013) and wild animals (Brakes and Smith, 2005; Forson and Storfer, 2006; Kohler and Triebskorn, 2013).

Botanical insecticides (Isman, 1994; Prakash *et al.*, 2008; Dadang *et al.*, 2009; Mansour *et al.*, 2011; Kabiri *et al.*, 2012; Abbad and Besheli, 2013; Li, 2013) are ecofriendly and environmentally safer alternative methods for crop protection. The evaluation of plant extracts for their deleterious effects on insects is one of the approaches used for the search of novel botanical insecticides (Isman, 1995). Marine algae are the renewable living

resources which are a rich source of structurally important novel and biologically active secondary metabolites. Marine algae have been shown to have insecticidal activities (Cetin *et al.*, 2010; Sahayaraj and Kalidas, 2011; Sahayaraj and Mary Jeeva, 2012; Asha *et al.*, 2012; Sahayaraj *et al.*, 2012; Syed Ali *et al.*, 2013; Bantoto and Danilo Dy, 2013). Furthermore, seaweed extracts offer a novel approach in pest management (Manilal *et al.*, 2009; Rajesh *et al.*, 2011; Sahayaraj and Kalidas, 2011; Sahayaraj and Mary Jeeva, 2012; Asha *et al.*, 2012). Further, they are used as a source of human and animal feed, as well as for fertilizer and herbicide (Manilal *et al.*, 2009).

Cotton is the most economically important natural fiber material in the world. One of the major obstacles hindering cotton cultivation is insect pest infestations. India has the largest area under cotton cultivation. Exports are expected to reach 7.5 million 170 kg bales (5.8 million 480 lb bales/1.3 mmt), down from the increased 2012/13 estimate of 9.0 million 170 kg bales (GAIN Report: 2013-2014). In recent years, yield of cotton has become static rather it is declining due to the infestation of insect pests and diseases. Nearly 162 species of insect pests cause low yield of cotton production (Amin and Gergis, 2006; Ozyigit *et al.*, 2007; Singh and Singh, 2007; Minfal, 2008).

The sucking pests of cotton includes cotton stainer, jassids, aphids, white flies and thrips (Uthamasamy et al., 2004). The red cotton bug or cotton stainer Dysdercus cingulatus (Fab.) (Hemiptera: Pyrrhocoridae) is considered a serious pest of cotton, which infests cotton in all the cotton growing regions of India (Tanu Sharma et al., 2010). The cotton stainers D. cingulatus causes serious damage by feeding on developing cotton bolls and ripe cotton seeds and transmitting fungi that develops on the immature lint and seeds (Yasuda, 1992; Sontakke et al., 2013). Moreover, the red cotton bug introduces fungi, Nematospora gossypii (S. F. Ashby and W. Nowell) (Evemotheciaceae) into bolls causing red staining of the lint, besides depositing excreta, which make the seeds unfit for sowing (Sundaramurthy and Chitra, 1992; Vasantharaj David and Kumaraswami, 1996; Anonymous, 2002; Radhika and Reddy, 2007;

Dhaka and Pareek, 2007; Ashfaq *et al.*, 2011). *Dysdercus cingulatus* are difficult to control by insecticidal application because they are highly mobile and have many alternative wild hosts belonging to Malvaceae (Iwata, 1975; Kohno and Ngan, 2004).

Several algal crude extracts such as *Caulerpa scalpelliformis* (Rajesh *et al.*, 2011; Kombiah and Sahayaraj, 2012), *Padina pavonica* (Sahayaraj and Kalidas, 2011), *Sargassum tenerrimum* (Sahayaraj and Mary Jeeva, 2012), *U. fasciata* (Asha *et al.*, 2012; Sahayaraj *et al.*, 2012) and *U. lactuca* (Asha *et al.*, 2012; Sahayaraj *et al.*, 2012) showed insecticidal activity against *Dysdercus* spp. The previous reports does not show much information regarding insecticidal activity of *Sargassum wightii* extracts against *D. cingulatus*. The present reports deal with the bioefficacy of selected macroalgae crude, olumn chromatographic fractions and their life traits against *D. cingulatus* nymphs.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Collection and extractions of seaweeds

The selected seaweeds were collected by hand picking method from the submerged marine rocks at four southern districts of Tamil Nadu from July 2009 to June 2010. The seaweeds were collected during low tide in the intertidal and sub-tidal regions where the vegetation was discontinuous and occurring in patches. Moreover, drifted algae were also collected using disposable latex gloves in glass bottles and polythene bags. After collection, the seaweeds were washed thoroughly thrice with tap water and once with sterile distilled water to remove salt, sand and epiphytes. Fresh samples were preserved in 4% formalin. The voucher specimens and herbarium sheets were prepared and deposited in Crop Protection Research Centre, St. Xavier's College (Autonomous). Palavamkottai. macroalgae were identified by Dr. K. Eswaran, Scientist in-charge at Central Salt and Marine Algal Research Station (CSMARS), Mandapam, Tamil Nadu, India.

The latitude and longitudes of the study areas were recorded using GPS- map 76 (GARMAN). Cleaned seaweeds were shade dried for two weeks, partially powdered using domestic blender (Preethi XL-7, Maya appliances (P) Ltd, Madras) and used for the

experiments. The powdered algal material was extracted by soxhlation and cold percolation method using polar (chloroform- CH, methanol- ME, water-WA) and non- polar solvent (hexane (HE).

## Pest collection and rearing

Nymphs and adults of D. cingulatus were collected from cotton fields of Tirunelveli districts, Tamil Nadu, India. The collected insects were maintained in the insectory under laboratory conditions (temperature  $28 \pm 2^{\circ}C$ ;  $70 \pm 5 \%$  RH and a photoperiod of 11L: 13D hrs) in transparent plastic containers (8cm height × 6.5cm diameter) containing a layer of sterile coarse sand (4cm thick). Insects were fed with their natural host cotton seeds and also cotton- seed- based artificial (Sahayarai al.. 2011). the et experimentations, insects were maintained at least for 2 generations. The laboratory emerged 6-12 hrs old third stadium D. cingulatus were used for this experiment.

# Nymphicidal activity bioassay

Bioassay studies were carried out using uniform sized (24.7±0.4 mg weight), 6-12 hrs old third stadium nymphs of D. cingulatus which was selected randomly from the stock culture. Five insects were placed in a transparent plastic container (8 cm height × 6.5 cm diameter). Different concentrations [100, 200, 400, 600 and 800 ppm (4mg extract in 5mL diet- 800 ppm)] of the seaweed extracts were prepared and used for the oral toxicity test. In oral toxicity, 10 mg of small cotton ball was soaked in respective extracts in artificial diet + 0.05% Tween 80 was provided to the insects and allowed to feed the same for 96 hrs continuously and was changed every day. In control category, the animals were fed with diet devoid of extract. Six replications were maintained for each concentration. Mortality was recorded for every 24 hrs up to 96 hrs. In addition, the column chromatography fractions of PP (F 14-75; 85-275; 800-965) and SW (F 164-323) were tested against third stadium of D. cingulatus with different concentrations (100, 200, 300, 400 and 500 ppm) by oral toxicity bioassay alone.

After 96 hrs, healthy individuals were maintained till their death using artificial diet as described by Sahayaraj *et al.* (2011). The life parameters like adult longevity, copulation period, fecundity,

hatchability, incubation period and relative growth rate were recorded.

# **Preparation of Column fraction**

Preparative chromatography was used to isolate the bioactive chemical compounds from S. wightii and P. pavonica in the crude extract (hexane + chloroform + methanol at 1:1:1 ratio w/w) crude extract. The column apparatus used for the separation was a vertical glass tube (73 cm height and 3 cm outer diameter) with a sintered glass disk to support the silica gel. The column was filled with 50 mL of petroleum ether initially to prevent the air bubbles. Then the column was packed using adsorbent silica gel (250g) (60-120mesh, Merck, Mumbai) mixed with petroleum ether (500 mL) into the tube to obtain a height of 45 cm. The solvent reservoir (300 mL capacity) was connected to the top of the column and the same petroleum ether was passed through the column for pre-running of solvent to stabilize and equilibrate the silica gel at room temperature (30°C). A 25 g of crude extract was mixed with silica gel (250 g) using petroleum ether (500 mL). The mixture was layered on top of the silica column. The eluting solvent initially with 100% petroleum ether and the polarity was increased at a 80:20, 60:40, 40:60, 20:80 ratio until a 100% of next solvent: Toluene  $\rightarrow$  chloroform  $\rightarrow$ acetone  $\rightarrow$  ethyl acetate  $\rightarrow$  methanol  $\rightarrow$  acetic acid. The column was eluted at 10 mL/10 min in marked test tubes (15 mL capacity) under gravitational flow until it appears to be more solute in the column. The fractions eluted were monitored simultaneously by pre-coated analytic thin layer chromatography (TLC) aluminum sheets of Silica gel (20×20 cm, Silica gel 60 F<sub>254</sub>, Merck, Mumbai) as stationary methanol (9:1), phase and chloroform and chloroform, ethyl acetate and methanol (8:1:1), hexane, ethyl acetate and methanol (6:3:1 and 3:6:1), toluene, acetone and formic acid (7:6:1) ratio as mobile phase were used for the separation of compounds from column chromatographic fractions. The TLC spots were observed under Iodine chamber. Eluents with similar Rf values were pooled together considering as a single eluent. Solvent was evaporated from the pooled eluents, allowed to dry and weighed.

**Table 1.** Impact of *Padina pavonica* and *Sargassum wightii* seaweeds hexane, chloroform, methanol and water extracts and their fractions against *D. cingulatus* third instar nymphs and its probit analysis data

Solvent	LC <sub>30</sub>	LC <sub>50</sub>	LC <sub>90</sub>	Regression Coefficient	Chi Square	Regression equation		
Padina pavonica								
Hexane	473.1	1326.4	16478.3	1.2	2.0	Y = -6.5240 + 5.6654X		
Chloroform	354.3	1062.5	15556.7	1.1	2.4	Y = -6.3369 + 5.5852X		
Methanol	448.2	1553.4	32388.3	1.0	3.7	Y = -5.7560 + 4.8501X		
Water	1150.0	2486.3	16363.7	1.5	3.2	Y = -5.8687 + 4.9201X		
F 14-75	132.3	292.7	2036.2	1.5	0.4	Y = -5.9118 + 6.6150X		
F 85-275	180.9	335.5	1517.5	1.9	2.2	Y = -7.8952 + 7.6700X		
F 800-965	66.2	141.7	910.6	1.6	0.1	Y = -6.3756 + 6.3073X		
Sargassum wightii								
Hexane	591.8	1439.1	12624.9	1.3	1.1	Y = -6.8715 + 5.9219X		
Chloroform	311.8	631.8	3549.5	1.7	1.4	Y = -8.6046 + 8.1391X		
Methanol	420.4	954.4	7080.2	1.5	7.3	Y = -7.6071 + 6.8403X		
Water	2089.9	4520.8	29789.7	1.5	3.4	Y = -4.5923 + 3.6805X		
F 164-323	104.2	175.2	623.9	2.3	1.8	Y = -9.6189 + 10.2850X		

# Statistical analysis

The mortality data, male longevity, female longevity, copulation period, fecundity, hatchability, incubation period data were subjected to one way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA); the mean values compared by Tukey test (P<0.05) and 'p' values arrived at to assess the statistical significance of values less than 0.05 were considered as significant using statistical package SPSS (20.0 version).

#### **RESULTS**

#### Nymphicidal activity

Chloroform extract of *S. wightii* (59.3%) (df= 7,40; F= 60.76; P= 0.005) caused more nymphal mortality at 96 hrs than *P. pavonica* (50.0%) (df= 7,40; F= 60.76; P= 0.003) (Figure 1) against third instar nymphs of *D. cingulatus*. Based upon the LC<sub>50</sub> values, it was concluded that *S.wightii* (LC<sub>50</sub>= 631.8 ppm) chloroform extract was considered as the best nymphicidal algae than *P. pavonica* (LC<sub>50</sub>= 1062.5 ppm) (Table 1). In column chromatographic fractions, *S. wightii* fraction (F164-323) showed higher nymphicidal activity (86.7%) (df= 5,24; F= 11.82; P= 0.004) (LC<sub>50</sub> = 175.2 ppm) than *P. pavonica* (F800-965) (63.3%) (df= 5,24; F= 11.82; P= 0.001) (LC<sub>50</sub> = 292.7 ppm) fractions (Table 1).

# Life traits Adult longevity

In *S. wightii*, shorter male longevity was observed in chloroform (df=5,30; F= 47.618; p=0.005) and water extract (df= 5,27; F= 8.177; p= 0.005) but the female longevity was highly shortened by chloroform extract (df= 5,24; F= 6.838; p= 0.005) (Table 2). In *P. pavonica*, shorter male longevity (df= 5,36; F= 1.372; p= 0.005) and female longevity (df= 5,17; F= 3.230; p= 0.031) were observed in chloroform extract (Table 3).

#### **Copulation period**

In *S. wightii* the copulation period was more prolonged in the water extracts (df= 5, 24; F= 8.645; p= 0.005) than in hexane (df= 5, 31; F= 6.409; p=0.005), chloroform (df= 5, 28; F= 10.189; p= 0.005) and methanol (df= 5,30; F= 6.807; p= 0.005) extracts (Table 3). In *P. pavonica*, copulation period was more prolonged by the water extract (df= 5,24; F= 5.058; p= 0.003) than in chloroform (df= 5,17; F= 9.449; p= 0.005) and methanol (df= 5,22; F= 5.428; p= 0.002) extracts (Table 4).

#### **Fecundity**

In the case of *S. wightii*, both chloroform (df= 5,28; F= 30.691; p= 0.005) and methanol (df= 5,28; F= 47.450; p= 0.005) extracts reduced the fecundity

**Table 2.** Effect of *Sargassum wightii* hexane, chloroform, methanol and water extracts on male (ML) and female (FL) adult longevity (days), mating period (MP) (days), fecundity (FE) (number of eggs/female), hatchability (HA) (%) and incubation period (IP) (days) of *D. cingulatus* 

Conc		ubation period (ii						
(ppm)	$\mathbf{ML}$	FL	MP	FE	HA	IP		
Hexane								
Control	7.0±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	6.7±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	1.7±0.2 <sup>abcd</sup>	78.6±3.1 <sup>abc</sup>	65.5±0.2 <sup>abc</sup>	3.2±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>		
100	6.9±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>	6.4±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>	1.9±0.2 <sup>abcde</sup>	76.1±4.8 <sup>abc</sup>	57.0±6.4 <sup>abc</sup>	3.6±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>		
200	$6.8\pm0.2^{\mathrm{abcdef}}$	$6.2\pm0.2^{abcdef}$	2.3±0.4 <sup>abcde</sup>	$70.4\pm4.9^{abc}$	52.6±5.8 <sup>abc</sup>	$3.6\pm0.3^{\mathrm{abcdef}}$		
400	6.7±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	6.1±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>	2.6±0.4 <sup>abcde</sup>	47.6±3.8 <sup>de</sup>	19.4±1.7 <sup>def</sup>	$3.7\pm0.2^{abcdef}$		
600	6.5±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>	5.9±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	3.0±0.2 <sup>bcdef</sup>	35.5±2.5 <sup>def</sup>	15.5±1.7 <sup>def</sup>	3.8±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>		
800	6.3±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	5.5±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>	$3.7\pm0.2^{ef}$	19.3±3.8 <sup>ef</sup>	9.7±1.2 <sup>def</sup>	4.3±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>		
Chloroform								
Control	6.8±0.1 <sup>abcde</sup>	$6.4\pm0.1^{a}$	1.3±0.1 <sup>ab</sup>	82.6±4.3 <sup>ab</sup>	$69.6\pm3.9^{ab}$	3.0±0.2 <sup>ab</sup>		
100	6.5±0.2 <sup>abcde</sup>	$6.0\pm0.2^{b}$	$1.7\pm0.2^{abcde}$	$79.1\pm2.9^{ab}$	$52.4\pm3.0^{ab}$	3.4±0.2 <sup>abcde</sup>		
200	6.3±0.3 <sup>abcde</sup>	5.5±0.2°	2.0±0.1 <sup>bcdef</sup>	51.2±3.3 <sup>cd</sup>	26.5±4.1 <sup>cde</sup>	3.8±0.2 <sup>bcde</sup>		
400	$6.2\pm0.2^{abcde}$	5.2±0.2 <sup>d</sup>	2.3±0.1 <sup>bcdef</sup>	$42.5\pm4.3^{\text{cde}}$	11.3±1.3 <sup>cdef</sup>	3.9±0.2 <sup>bcde</sup>		
600	5.8±0.3 <sup>abcde</sup>	5.0±0.2 <sup>e</sup>	2.5±0.3 <sup>bcdef</sup>	27.6±3.3 <sup>def</sup>	$6.0\pm1.2^{\text{cdef}}$	4.1±0.3 <sup>bcde</sup>		
800	$5.6 \pm 0.5^{\mathrm{f}}$	4.5±0.5 <sup>f</sup>	$2.8\pm0.3^{cdef}$	17.5±1.5 <sup>ef</sup>	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$		
	Methanol							
Control	7.0±0.4 <sup>abcdef</sup>	$6.2\pm0.2^{abcdef}$	1.6±0.1 <sup>abce</sup>	79.0±2.5 <sup>abc</sup>	59.9±3.4 <sup>ab</sup>	$3.4 \pm 0.2^{\text{abcde}}$		
100	6.8±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>	6.1±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	1.9±0.1 <sup>abcef</sup>	71.0±3.9 <sup>abc</sup>	45.6±3.6 <sup>ab</sup>	4.0±0.3 <sup>abc</sup>		
200	6.8±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	5.7±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>	2.1±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	67.2±4.3 <sup>abc</sup>	36.3±4.3°	4.4±0.3 <sup>abce</sup>		
400	6.5±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	5.4±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>	2.3±0.3 <sup>cdef</sup>	48.8±0.3 <sup>de</sup>	$17.4 \pm 3.6^{\text{def}}$	4.8±0.3 <sup>ad</sup>		
600	6.5±0.4 <sup>abcdef</sup>	5.0±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>	2.7±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	33.0±5.2 <sup>def</sup>	$9.0 \pm 1.5^{\text{def}}$	5.1±0.2 <sup>ade</sup>		
800	6.0±0.5 <sup>abcdef</sup>	4.8±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>	2.8±0.3 <sup>bcdef</sup>	$14.0\pm4.0^{ef}$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$		
Water								
Control	7.5±0.2 <sup>abcd</sup>	6.5±0.2 <sup>abcde</sup>	1.6±0.1 <sup>abcd</sup>	84.6±3.7 <sup>a</sup>	72.1±4.9 <sup>ab</sup>	3.7±0.2 <sup>abcde</sup>		
100	7.4±0.3 <sup>abcde</sup>	6.2±0.4 <sup>abcde</sup>	1.9±0.2 <sup>abcde</sup>	70.3±4.3 <sup>b</sup>	60.9±3.1 <sup>ab</sup>	3.8±0.1 <sup>abcdef</sup>		
200	6.1±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>	5.5±0.4 <sup>abcdef</sup>	2.0±0.2 <sup>abcde</sup>	51.6±3.1 <sup>cd</sup>	42.9±4.0 <sup>cd</sup>	3.9±0.1 <sup>abcdef</sup>		
400	5.8±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>	5.0±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>	2.2±0.4 <sup>abcde</sup>	42.0±6.1 <sup>cde</sup>	34.8±3.9 <sup>cde</sup>	4.2±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>		
600	5.4±0.2 <sup>bcdef</sup>	5.0±0.0 <sup>abcdef</sup>	3.0±0.0 <sup>bcdef</sup>	36.0±0.0 <sup>de</sup>	21.0±0.0 <sup>def</sup>	4.5±0.0 <sup>abcdef</sup>		
800	4.5±0.5 <sup>cdef</sup>	3.3±0.3 <sup>cdef</sup>	3.8±0.3 <sup>ef</sup>	17.3±0.3 <sup>f</sup>	$6.0\pm2.1^{ef}$	5.5±0.5 <sup>bcdef</sup>		

Means followed by the same letters in a column for each solvent separately are not significantly different by DMRT at P=0.05

(Table 3). The hexane extracts of *P. pavonica* highly reduced the fecundity more than chloroform (df= 5,17; F= 29.193; p= 0.005), methanol (df= 5,22; F= 44.873; p= 0.005), and water extracts (Table 3) did.

#### **Incubation period**

In the case of *S. wightii* chloroform (df= 5,28; F= 22.774; p= 0.005) and methanol extracts (df= 5,30; F= 12.997; p= 0.005) increased the incubation

period (Table 3). *Padina pavonica* methanol (df= 5,22; F= 2.694; p= 0.048) and water extract increased the incubation period of *D. cingulatus* (Table 3).

## **Hatchability**

In *S. wightii* hatchability was highly reduced at 800 ppm both in chloroform and methanol extracts (Table 3). In *Padina pavonica*, hatchability was

**Table 3.** Effect of *Padina pavonica* hexane, chloroform, methanol and water extracts on male (ML) and female (FL) adult longevity (days), mating period (MP) (days), fecundity (FE) (number of eggs/female), hatchability (HA) (%) and incubation period (IP) (days) of *D. cingulatus* 

Conc My EV MD EE HA ID								
ML	$\mathbf{FL}$	MP	FE	HA	IP			
(ppm) Hexane								
7.6±0.4 <sup>abcdef</sup>	6.5±0.1 <sup>abcdef</sup>	1.9±0.1 <sup>abcdef</sup>	81.3±2.6 <sup>abcd</sup>	68.0±3.5 <sup>ab</sup>	$3.4\pm0.2^{abcdef}$			
$7.4\pm0.2^{abcdef}$	6.4±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	$2.0\pm0.2^{abcdef}$	79.8±3.7 <sup>abcd</sup>	57.0±3.2 <sup>ab</sup>	$3.5\pm0.3^{abcdef}$			
7.1±0.1 <sup>abcdef</sup>	6.2±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	2.2±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	66.8±3.3 <sup>abcd</sup>	37.0±7.2 <sup>cd</sup>	$3.8\pm0.2^{abcdef}$			
6.9±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	6.0±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	2.5±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>	65.5±2.8 <sup>abcd</sup>	33.3±3.8 <sup>cdf</sup>	$3.9\pm0.2^{abcdef}$			
6.8±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	5.5±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>	2.6±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	45.3±3.6 <sup>ef</sup>	15.0±2.1 <sup>def</sup>	$4.1\pm0.2^{abcdef}$			
$6.7\pm0.2^{abcdef}$	5.0±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>	$2.8\pm0.3^{abcdef}$	$25.0\pm5.0^{ef}$	$07.0 \pm 1.0^{\text{def}}$	4.3±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>			
Chloroform								
	5.3±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	1.8±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	85.3±2.3 <sup>a</sup>	72.5±2.4 <sup>a</sup>	3.6±0.1 <sup>abcdef</sup>			
$7.1\pm0.4^{abcdef}$	5.5±0.3 <sup>b</sup>	$2.5\pm0.2^{b}$	$74.2 \pm 2.3^{b}$	53.6±2.4 <sup>b</sup>	$3.7\pm0.3^{abcdef}$			
$7.0\pm0.2^{abcdef}$	$5.8\pm0.2^{c}$		64.5±2.7°	33.5±1.9°	3.9±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup>			
	$6.0\pm0.0^{d}$		52.5±7.5 <sup>d</sup>	$16.0\pm2.0^{d}$	4.0±0.5 <sup>abcdef</sup>			
6.6±0. 1 <sup>abcdef</sup>	$6.3\pm0.2^{e}$		43.5±7.5 <sup>e</sup>	$10.0\pm3.0^{e}$	4.2±0.5 <sup>abcdef</sup>			
$6.5\pm0.2^{abcdef}$	$6.4\pm0.2^{\mathrm{f}}$	$3.8 \pm 2.2^{f}$	$28.0\pm0.0^{\rm f}$	$06.0\pm0.0^{\rm f}$	4.5±0.0 <sup>abcdef</sup>			
Methanol								
$7.6\pm0.3^{abdef}$	6.3±0.1 <sup>abcdef</sup>	$1.8\pm0.1^{\mathrm{abcde}}$	$87.8\pm2.0^{a}$	$74.5\pm2.0^{a}$	$3.4\pm0.2^{abcdef}$			
	$6.2\pm0.3^{abcdef}$				3.5±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>			
$6.8 \pm 0.2^{\text{bcdf}}$		$2.1\pm0.3^{abcde}$			$3.6\pm0.2^{abcdef}$			
$6.5\pm0.3^{abdef}$		$2.3\pm0.2^{abcdef}$		29.3±4.0 <sup>cdef</sup>	$4.0\pm0.2^{abcdef}$			
	$5.1\pm0.2^{abcdef}$	$2.5\pm0.3^{abcdef}$			4.3±0.1 <sup>abcdef</sup>			
$5.5\pm0.5^{abcdef}$	$4.2\pm0.5^{abcdef}$	$3.2 \pm 0.2^{\text{def}}$	27.0±3.0 <sup>def</sup>	09.5±1.5 <sup>def</sup>	$4.7\pm0.2^{abcdef}$			
Water								
$6.8\pm0.\overline{2^{\text{abcdef}}}$	$6.0\pm0.3^{abcd}$	$2.4\pm0.2^{abcd}$	75.0±5.1 <sup>abc</sup>		4.0±0.5 <sup>abcdef</sup>			
6.4±0.1 <sup>abcdef</sup>		2.6±0.3 <sup>abcd</sup>		51.9±2.0 <sup>abc</sup>	$4.6\pm0.3^{abcdef}$			
$6.1\pm0.\overline{3}^{abcdef}$	$5.3\pm0.0^{\text{abcdef}}$	$2.8\pm0.3^{\text{abcdef}}$	66.0±6.4 <sup>abc</sup>	$40.8 \pm 4.6^{\text{bcd}}$	$5.0\pm0.3^{abcdef}$			
$6.0\pm0.2^{abcdef}$	5.0±0.5 <sup>abcdef</sup>	$3.0\pm0.0^{abcdef}$	41.0±1.0 <sup>de</sup>	21.8±5.9 <sup>cdef</sup>	$5.2\pm0.5^{abcdef}$			
5.5±0.0 <sup>abcdef</sup>	$4.8\pm0.0^{\text{bcdef}}$	$3.5 \pm 0.0^{\text{cdef}}$	34.0±0.0 <sup>def</sup>		$5.3\pm0.0^{abcdef}$			
5.0±0.0 <sup>abcdef</sup>	$4.5\pm0.5^{\text{bcdef}}$	$4.0\pm0.0^{\text{cdef}}$	$22.0\pm0.0^{ef}$		$5.6\pm0.0^{abcdef}$			
	7.6±0.4 <sup>abcdef</sup> 7.4±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup> 7.1±0.1 <sup>abcdef</sup> 6.9±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup> 6.8±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup> 6.7±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup> 7.1±0.4 <sup>abcdef</sup> 7.0±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup> 6.8±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup> 6.6±0.1 <sup>abcdef</sup> 6.5±0.2 <sup>abcdef</sup> 6.5±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup> 6.8±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup> 6.5±0.3 <sup>abcdef</sup>	ML         FL           7.6±0.4abcdef         6.5±0.1abcdef           7.4±0.2abcdef         6.4±0.2abcdef           7.1±0.1abcdef         6.2±0.2abcdef           6.9±0.2abcdef         6.0±0.2abcdef           6.8±0.2abcdef         5.5±0.3abcdef           6.7±0.2abcdef         5.0±0.2abcdef           7.3±0.2abcdef         5.5±0.3b           7.0±0.2abcdef         5.8±0.2c           6.8±0.3abcdef         6.0±0.0d           6.6±0.1abcdef         6.3±0.2e           6.5±0.2abcdef         6.4±0.2f           7.6±0.3abcdef         6.3±0.1abcdef           7.3±0.3abcdef         6.2±0.3abcdef           6.5±0.2abcdef         5.7±0.3abcdef           6.5±0.3abcdef         5.5±0.3abcdef           6.1±0.1aef         5.1±0.2abcdef           5.5±0.5abcdef         4.2±0.5abcdef           6.8±0.2bcdef         6.0±0.3abcdef           6.4±0.1abcdef         5.7±0.3abcdef           6.4±0.1abcdef         5.7±0.3abcdef           6.5±0.3abcdef         5.0±0.5abcdef           6.0±0.3abcdef         5.0±0.5abcdef           6.0±0.5abcdef         5.0±0.5abcdef           6.0±0.5bcdef         5.0±0.5bcdef           6.0±0.0bcdef         4.5±0.5bcdef	ML         FL         MP           7.6±0.4abcdef         6.5±0.1abcdef         1.9±0.1abcdef           7.4±0.2abcdef         6.4±0.2abcdef         2.0±0.2abcdef           7.1±0.1abcdef         6.2±0.2abcdef         2.2±0.2abcdef           6.9±0.2abcdef         6.0±0.2abcdef         2.5±0.3abcdef           6.8±0.2abcdef         5.5±0.3abcdef         2.6±0.2abcdef           6.7±0.2abcdef         5.0±0.2abcdef         2.8±0.3abcdef           6.7±0.2abcdef         5.3±0.3a         1.8±0.3abcdef           7.3±0.2abcdef         5.5±0.3b         2.5±0.2b           7.0±0.2abcdef         5.8±0.2c         3.2±0.2b           6.8±0.3abcdef         6.0±0.0d         3.3±0.2d           6.6±0.1abcdef         6.3±0.2e         3.5±0.0e           6.5±0.2abcdef         6.4±0.2f         3.8±2.2f           Methano         7.6±0.3abcdef         6.3±0.1abcdef         1.8±0.1abcde           7.3±0.3abcdef         6.3±0.1abcdef         2.0±0.2abcde           6.8±0.2abcdef         5.7±0.3abcdef         2.1±0.3abcde           6.5±0.3abcdef         5.5±0.3abcdef         2.3±0.2abcdef           5.5±0.5abcdef         4.2±0.5abcdef         3.2±0.2abcdef           6.4±0.1abcdef         5.7±0.3abcdef	ML         FL         MP         FE           Hexane           7.6±0.4abcdef         6.5±0.1abcdef         1.9±0.1abcdef         81.3±2.6abcd           7.4±0.2abcdef         6.4±0.2abcdef         2.0±0.2abcdef         79.8±3.7abcd           7.1±0.1abcdef         6.2±0.2abcdef         2.2±0.2abcdef         79.8±3.7abcd           6.9±0.2abcdef         6.0±0.2abcdef         2.2±0.2abcdef         66.8±3.3abcdef           6.8±0.2abcdef         5.5±0.3abcdef         2.5±0.3abcdef         45.3±3.6ef           6.7±0.2abcdef         5.0±0.2abcdef         2.8±0.3abcdef         25.0±5.0ef           Chloroform           7.3±0.2abcdef         5.3±0.3a         1.8±0.3a         85.3±2.3a           7.1±0.4abcdef         5.5±0.3b         2.5±0.2b         74.2±2.3b           7.0±0.2abcdef         5.8±0.2c         3.2±0.2b         64.5±2.7c           6.8±0.3abcdef         6.0±0.0d         3.3±0.2d         52.5±7.5d           6.6±0.1abcdef         6.3±0.2e         3.5±0.0e         43.5±7.5e           6.5±0.2abcdef         6.4±0.2f         3.8±2.2f         28.0±0.0f           Methanol           7.6±0.3abcdef         6.3±0.1abcdef         1.8±0.1abcdef         87.8±2.0a	ML         FL         MP         FE         HA           7.6±0.4abcdef         6.5±0.1abcdef         1.9±0.1abcdef         81.3±2.6abcd         68.0±3.5ab           7.4±0.2abcdef         6.4±0.2abcdef         2.0±0.2abcdef         79.8±3.7abcd         57.0±3.2ab           7.1±0.1abcdef         6.2±0.2abcdef         2.2±0.2abcdef         66.8±3.3abcd         37.0±7.2cd           6.9±0.2abcdef         6.0±0.2abcdef         2.5±0.3abcdef         65.5±2.8abcd         33.3±3.8cdf           6.8±0.2abcdef         5.5±0.3abcdef         2.6±0.2abcdef         45.3±3.6cf         15.0±2.1def           6.7±0.2abcdef         5.0±0.2abcdef         2.8±0.3abcdef         25.0±5.0ef         07.0±1.0def           Chloroform           7.3±0.2abcdef         5.3±0.3a         1.8±0.3a         85.3±2.3a         72.5±2.4a           7.1±0.4abcdef         5.5±0.3b         2.5±0.2b         74.2±2.3b         53.6±2.4b           7.0±0.2abcdef         5.8±0.2c         3.2±0.2c         64.5±2.7c         33.5±1.9c           6.8±0.3abcdef         6.0±0.0d         3.3±0.2d         52.5±7.5d         16.0±2.0d           6.6±0.1abcdef         6.3±0.2e         3.5±0.0e         43.5±7.5e         10.0±3.0e           6.5±0.2abcdef         6.4±0.2f			

Means followed by the same letters in a column for each solvent separately are not significantly different by DMRT at P=0.05

highly reduced in hexane (df = 5,27; F = 25.962; p = 0.005) followed by chloroform (df= 5,17; F= 53.433; p= 0.005); methanol (df= 5,22; F= 54.943; p= 0.005) and water (df= 5,24; F= 31.445; p= 0.005) extracts (Table 3).

**Morphogenesis**: After 96 hrs of treatment, the abnormalities were predominantly recorded at 800 ppm concentration of chloroform extract of *S*.

wightii rather than the other extracts. It includes incomplete moulting (b), shrunk abdomen (b), crumbled forewings (c) and hind wings. D. cingulatus treated with chloroform extract of P. pavonica and S. wightii showed delayed and incomplete moulting with deformed wings.

DISCUSSION 199

Algae synthesize a number of secondary metabolites among them; some of the compounds are recognized as insecticidal molecules. Dose dependent mortality due to plant extracts (Hashim and Devi, 2003) were reported for *D. cingulatus*.

The chloroform extract of S. wightii caused more nymphal mortality against third nymphal instars of D. cingulatus. This is due to the presence of Stigmastan-6,22-dien, 3,5-dedihydro- (71.34%), which also possesses antioxidant (Prakash et al., 2011; Yagi et al., 2013; Ojekale et al., 2013), antibacterial (Ahmad et al., 2012), inflammatory (Harman et al., 1980; Geneive et al., 2002), antianthiritic (Meechaona et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2008) and insecticidal activity (Barakat, 2011; Ahmad et al., 2012; Ojekale et al., 2013). Further these fatty acids were also reported from the other green algae Enteromorpha prolifera (Muller) J. Agardh (Zhou et al., 2010) and red alga Laurencia brandenii (Yamada) (Manilal et al., 2011). Previously, Argandona et al. (2000) reported that the red alga Plocamimum cartilagineum (Linn.) P. Dixonand P. violaceum (Linn.) P. S. Dixoncopulation showed insecticidal activity against tobacco horn worm Manduca sexta (Linn.). Furthermore. brown algae of the family Dictyotaceae produce a new diferpene dictyo crenulol, which possesses insecticidal activity against tomato moth Tuta absoluta (Meyrick) (Lepidoptera: Gelechiidae) (Soto and San Martin, 2002). Similarly, our results revealed that the brown alga, S. wightii and P. pavonica chloroform extract caused more mortality against third instar numphs of D. cingulatus. This is due to the presence of stigmastan-6, 22-dien, 3, 5-dedihydro-, hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester in S. wightii and P. pavonica respectively.

Rizvi and Shameel (2004) reported the insecticidal activity of benthic algae belonging to the Chlorophyta, Phaeophyta, and Rhodophyta. They clearly showed that *S. tenerrimum* has insecticidal activity, and this might be due to cytotoxic oxysterol and hydroper 24 cholesterol. Diverse secondary metabolites in many types of seaweed were reported as having defensive action against invertebrates in general (Hay *et al.*, 1990) and insects in particular (Rizvi, 2003; Rizvi and Shameel, 2004; Biju *et al.*, 2004). Sahayaraj and Kalidas (2011) reported 85%

mortality in chloroform and benzene extracts of *P. pavonica* against *D. cingulatus* nymphs. Similarly *Osmundae pinnatifida* showed insecticidal activity (Rizvi and Shameel, 2003). Hashim and Devi (2003) recorded 1.82 to 2.26 µg of chloroform fraction of *Streblus asper* is essential to cause 50% mortality at 96 hrs. Recent research on insecticidal action of plant materials specially secondary metabolites and essential oils established that they are ecofriendly, biodegradable and species specific (Senthil-Nathan, 2007; Senthil-Nathan *et al.*, 2006 a,b and 2008; Rattan, 2010).

Ethanol extracts of fresh leaves and seeds of *T. neriifolia* were tested for juvenomimetic action on red cotton bug, *D. cingulatus*, based on larval mortality, duration of ovipositional period, emergence of malformed adults and reduced fecundity of the *D. cingulatus* (Bai and Koshy, 2004). Sahayaraj and Mary Jeeva (2012) reported that the seaweed *Sargassum tenerrimum* extracts caused more mortality and reduced the nymphal developmental period, adult longevity and fecundity of *D. cingulatus*.

Sontakke et al. (2013) noticed that 1 and 1.5% concentrations caused complete fecundity as well as fertility in hexane, chloroform and methanol extracts of Psoralea corylifolia against D. cingulatus. Similarly our results revealed that the chloroform extract of S. wightii and P. pavonica and column chromatographic fractions caused more mortality and reduced the fecundity, hatchability, longevity adult and increased nymphal developmental period of D. cingulatus as reported by Bai and Koshy, 2004; Sahayaraj and Kalidas, 2011; Sahayaraj and Mary Jeeva, 2012. They reported that Thevetia neriifolia (Juss.) (Apocynaceae) extracts caused malformation. reduced fecundity, duration of ovipositional period and increased nymphal period of D. cingulatus (Bai and Koshy, 2004), chloroform and benzene extracts P. pavonica reduced D.cingulatus hatchability, increased nymphal developmental period and interphere with physiology (Sahayaraj and Kalidas, 2011), S.tenerrimum extracts and column chromatographic fractions altered life traits of D. cingulatus (Sahayaraj and Mary Jeeva (2012). Khan and Qamar (2011) reported that

andalin(flucycloxuron) treated with fifth instars nymphs of *Dysdercus koiengii* treated with different concentrations showed highest mortality, moulting abnormality, nymphal and adult malformation were observed. From our results we concluded that *S. wightii* and *P. pavonica* can be used as an ecofriendly pest management component for red cotton bug *D. cingulatus*.

Moulting disruption, morphological abnormalities and mortality of hemipteran insects treated with macroalgal seaweeds extracts showed a dose dependant response. Pandey and Tiwari (2011) reported that the neem based insecticides caused metamorphic developments, coupling and fecundity. A similar effect was found by Katiyar and Srivastava (1982) in Callistemon lanceolatus oil treated nymphs of D. koiengii also support present findings. Similarly our results revealed that the chloroform extract of S. wightii and P. pavonica were more effective than the other extracts. It includes incomplete moulting, shrunk abdomen, crumbled forewings and hind wings. D. cingulatus treated with chloroform extract of P. pavonica and S. wightii showed delayed and incomplete moulting with deformed wings. Thus marine algae can be recommended for use in insect pest management modules for the cotton pest.

The present study once illustrates that the marine alga *S. wightii* and *P. pavonica* are potential ones for the eco-congenial nymphicide development as an alternate to chemical insecticides that are being currently used in cotton pest management programs. This study may provide a useful beginning for the development of biopesticides. The present study highlights that macroalgal bioactives can be operationally used for cotton pest control.

# Acknowledgments

Senior author K. Sahayaraj acknowledges the financial support from the Ministry of Earth Science, New Delhi [Ref No. MRDF/01/33/P/07] provided for this work. He also expressed his gratitude to the members of St. Xavier's College Management for moral and laboratory facilities.

#### REFERENCES

Abang, A. F., Kouame, C. M., Abang, M., Hannah, R. and Fotso, A. K. 2013. Vegetable grower's

- perception of pesticide use practices, cost, and health effects in the tropical region of Cameroon. *International Journal of Agronomy and Plant Production*, **4** (5): 873-883.
- Abbad, M. K. and Besheli, B. A. 2013. Bioassay of the botanical insecticide, tondexir on two natural enemies of the common *Pistachio psyllid*. *International Journal of Agronomy and Plant Production*, **4** (6):1191-1196.
- Amin, A. A. and Gergis, M. F. 2006. Integrated management strategies for control of cotton key pests in middle Egypt. *Agronomy Research*, **4**: 121-128.
- Anonymous, 2002. Cotton insect, disease, nematode, and weed control recommendations for 2002. Alabama Cooperative Extension Service.
- Asha, A., Rathi, J. M., Patric Raja, D. and Sahayaraj, K. 2012. Biocidal activity of two marine green algal extracts against third instar nymph of *Dysdercus cingulatus* (Fab.) (Hemiptera: Pyrrhocoridae). *Journal of Biopesticide*, **5** (Suppl.): 129-134.
- Ashfaq, S., Khan, I. A., Saeed, M., Saljoqi, A. U. R., Manzoor, F., Sohail, K., Habib, K. and Sadozai, A. 2011. Population dynamics of insect pests of cotton and their natural enimies. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*, **27** (2): 251-253.
- Bantoto, V. and Danilo Dy. 2013. The larvicidal activity of brown algae *Padina minor* (Yamada) and *Dicyota linearis* (Greville) against the dengue vector, *Aedes aegypti* (Linn) (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of Vector Borne Diseases*, **50**: 68–70.
- Brakes, C. R. and Smith, R. H. 2005. Exposure of non-target small mammals to rodenticides: short-term effects, recovery and implications for secondary poisoning. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **42**:118–128.
- Carrea, J. E. 2002. Dogs and pesticide use, Alabama cooperative extension system, UNP-50, http://www.aces.edu/pubs/docs/U/UNP-0050/UN P-0050.
- Cetin, H., Mehmet Gokoglu, O. and Emre, Z. 2010. Larvicidal activity of the extract of seaweed, Caulerpa scalpelliformis, against Culex pipiens. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association, 26 (4): 433 - 435.
- Chaturvedi, M., Tiwari, M. and Sharma, C. 2013. Impact of microflora on human beings and farm

- animals. Research Journal of Chemical and Environmental Sciences, 1(3): 11-13.
- Chojnacka, K., Saeid, A., Witkowska, Z. and Tuhy, L. 2012. Biologically active compounds in seaweed extracts the prospects for the application. *The Open Conference Proceedings Journal*, 3 (1-M4): 20-28.
- Dadang, Fitriasari, E. D. and Prijono, D. 2009. Effectiveness of two botanical insecticide formulations to two major cabbage insect pests on field application. *Journal of International Society for Southeast Asian Agricultural Sciences*, **15** (1): 42-51.
- Daoudi, M., Bakkas, S., Culoili, G., Ortalo-Magne, A., Piovetti, H. and Guiry M. D. 2001. Acyclic diterpenes and sterols from genera *Bifurcaria* and *Bifurcariopsis* (Cystoseiraceae, Phaeophyceae). *Biochemical Systemic and Ecology*, **29**:973-978.
- Dhaka, S. R. and Pareek, B. L. 2007. Seasonal incidence of natural enemies of key insect pests of cotton and their relationship with weather parameters. *Journal of Plant Protection Research*, **47** (4): 418-419.
- Forson, D. D. and Storfer, A. 2006. Atrazine increases ranavirus susceptibility in the tiger salamander, *Ambystoma tigrinum*. *Ecological Applications*, **16**: 2325–2332.
- Ghosh, T., Chattopadhyay, K., Marschall, M., Karmakar, P., Mandal, P. and Ray, B. 2009. Focus on antivirally active sulfated polysaccharides: From structure—activity analysis to clinical evaluation. *Glycobiology*, **19** (1): 2–15.
- Goulson, D. 2013. Review- An overview of the environmental risks posed by neonicotinoid insecticides. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.12111, 1-11 PP.
- Gouveia, L., Batista, A. P., Sousa, I., Raymundo, A. and Bandarra, N. M. 2008. Microalgae in novel food products. In: *Food chemistry research developments* (Konstantinos and Papadopoulos, N., eds.) Nova Science Publishers, Inc. 1-37 **PP**.
- Gulati, K., Banerjee, B., Lall, S. B. and Ray, A. 2010. Effects of diesel exhaust, heavy metals and pesticides on various organ systems: Possible mechanisms and strategies for prevention and treatment. *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology*, **48**: 710-721.
- Gupta, P. K., 2004. Pesticide use in India. *Journal of Toxicology*, **198** (1-3): 83-90.

- Hashim, M. S. and Devi, K. S. 2003. Insecticidal action of the polyphenolic rich fractions from the stem barks of *Streblus asper* on *Dysdercus cingulatus*. *Fitoterapia*, **74**: 670-676.
- Hossain, F., Ali, O., D' Souza, U. J. A. and Saw Naing, D. K. 2010. Effects of pesticide use on semen quality among farmers in rural areas of Sabah, Malaysia. *Journal of Occupational Health*, **52**: 353-360.
- Huang, R. and Lee, H. T. 2005. Immunological properties of the marine brown alga *Endarachne binghamiae* (Phaeophyceae). *International Journal of Applied Science and Engineering*, **3** (3): 167-173.
- Isikber, A. A. and Copland, M. J. W. 2002. Effects of various aphid foods on *Cycloneda sanguinea*. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, **102**: 93-97.
- Isman, M. B. 1994. Growth inhibitory and antifeedant effects of azadirachtin on six noctuids of regional economic importance. *Pesticide Science*, 38: 57-63.
- Isman, M. B. 1995. Leads and prospects for the development of new insecticides. In: *Review of Pesticide Toxicology* (Roe, R. M. and Kuhr, R. J., eds.), **3**: 1-20.
- Iwata, K. 1975. Shizen kansatsusha no shuli (Memoirs on Nature by an observer). Asahi Shimbun Co., Tokyo. 584 **PP**.
- Kabiri, M., Amiri-Besheli, B. and Basirat, M. 2012. A comparison of the toxicity of the botanical insecticide, sirinol and two chemical insecticides, mospilan and consult, on two natural enemies of the pistachio psyllid, coccinellid predator (*Oenopia conglobata*) and parasitic wasp (*Psyllaephagus pistaciae*). *African Journal of Biotechnology*, **11** (74): 13888-13895.
- Kohler, H. R. and Triebskorn, R. 2013. Review-wildlife ecotoxicology of pesticides: can we track effects to the population level and beyond? *Science*, **341**:759-765.
- Kohno, K. and Bui Thi, N. 2004. Effects of host plant species on the development of *Dysdercus cingulatus* (Heteroptera: Pyrrhocoridae). *Applied Entomology and Zoology*, **39** (1): 183-187.
- Kombiah, P. and Sahayaraj, K. 2012. Repellent activity of *Caulerpa scalpelliformis* extracts and its formulations against *Spodoptera litura* and

- Dysdercus cingulatus (Fab.). Journal of Biopesticides, **5** (Suppl.): 145-150.
- Konradsen, F., Van der Hoek, W., Cole, D. C., Hutchinson, G., Daisley, H., Singh, S., Eddleston, M. 2003. Reducing acute
  - poisoning in developing countries-options for restricting the availability of pesticides. *Toxicology*, **192**: 249-261.
- Li, G. 2013. Effect of botanical insecticide of *Macleya cordata* on physiology and biochemistry of Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L.). *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, **6** (3): 492-495.
- Mancini, F., Van Bruggen, A. H. C., Jiggins, J. L. S., Ambatipudi, A. C., Murphy, H. 2005. Acute pesticide poisoning among female and male cotton growers in India. *International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 11: 221–232.
- Manilal, A., Sujith, S., Kiran, G. S., Selvin, J., Shakir, C., Gandhimathi, R. and Nataraja panikkar, M. V. 2009. Biopotentials of seaweeds collected from south west coast of India. *Journal of Marine Science and Technology*, **17**: 67-73.
- Mansour, S. A., Bakr, R. F. A., Mohamed, R. I. and Hasaneen, N. M. 2011. Larvicidal activity of some botanical extracts, commercial insecticides and their binary mixtures against the housefly, *Musca domestica* L. *The Open Toxinology Journal*, **4**: 1-13.
- Minfal, 2008. Agricultural statistics of pakistan; cash crops. Government of Pakistan, Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Livestock. (Economic Wing), Islamabad, 29-30 PP.
- Munirasu, S., Ramasubramanian, V., Uthayakumar, V. and Muthukumar, S. 2013. Bioenrichment of live feed *Daphnia magna* for the survival and growth of freshwater fish *Catla catla*. *International Journal of Current Research Review*, **5** (8): 20-31.
- Natala, A. J. and Ochoje, O. S. 2009. Survey of pesticides used in the control of ectoparasites on farm animals in Kaduna State, Northern Nigeria. *Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*, 4 (1): 276 280.
- Ozyigit, I. I., Kahraman, M. V. and Ercan, O. 2007. Relation between explants age, total phenols and regeneration response in tissue cultured cotton

- (Gossypium hirsutum L.). African Journal of Biotechnology, **6** (1): 003-008.
- Prakash, A., Rao, J. and Nandagopal, V. 2008. Future of botanical pesticides in rice, wheat, pulses and vegetables pest management. *Journal of Biopesticides*, **1** (2):154 169.
- Prakash, O., Gondwal, M. and Pant, A. K. 2011. Essential oils composition and antioxidant activity of water extract from seeds and fruit pulp of *Skimmia anquetilia* N. P. Taylor and Airy Shaw. *Indian Journal of Natural Products and Resources*, **2** (4): 435-441.
- Radhika, P. and Reddy, B. S. 2007. Seasonal incidence of insect-pests of cotton in the scarce rainfall zone of Andhra Pradesh. *Journal of Cotton Research Devision*, **21** (1): 98-102.
- Rajesh, S., Asha, A., Kombiah, P. and Sahayaraj, K. 2011.Biocidal activity of algal seaweeds on insect pest and fungal plant pathogen. In: Proceedings of the National seminar on Harmful/beneficial insects of agricultural importance with special reference to the nuisance pest *Luprops tristis* in rubber plantations, 86-91 **PP**.
- Remor, A. P., Totti, C. C., Moreira, D. A., Dutra, G. P., Heuser, V. D. and Boeira, J. M. 2009. Occupational exposure of farm workers to pesticides: Biochemical parameters and evaluation of genotoxicity. *Environment International Journal*, **35**: 273-275.
- Sahayaraj, K. and Kalidas, S. 2011. Evaluation of nymphicidal and ovicidal effect of seaweed, *Padina pavonica* (Linn.) (Pheophyceae) on cotton pest, *Dysdercus cingulatus* (Fab.). *Indian Journal of Geo Marine Sciences*, **40** (1): 125-129.
- Sahayaraj, K., Majesh Tomson and Kalidas, S. 2011. Artificial rearing of the red cotton bug, *Dysdercus cingulatus* using cotton seed-based artificial diet (Hemiptera: Pyrrhocoridae). *Entomologia Generalis*, **33** (4): 283-288.
- Sahayaraj, K. and Mary Jeeva, Y. 2012. Nymphicidal and ovipositional efficacy of seaweed *Sargassum tenerrimum* (J. Agardh) against *Dysdercus cingulatus* (Fab.) (Pyrrhocoridae). *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research*, **72** (1): 152-156.
- Sahayaraj, K., Rajesh, S., Asha, A. and Rathi, J. M. 2012. Marine algae for the cotton pest and disease management. *Proceedings of*

- International Conference on Agricultural Science and Engineering, Nigeria, 1: 49-62.
- Seenivasan, R., Indu, H., Archana, I. G. and Geetha, S. 2010. The antibacterial activity of some marine algae from south east coast of India. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences*, **9** (5): 480-489.
- Sharma, D. R., Thapa, R. B., Manandhar, H. K., Shrestha, S. M. and Pradhan, S. B. 2012. Use of pesticides in Nepal and impacts on human health and environment. *The Journal of Agriculture and Environment*. **13**: 67-74.
- Shrestha, P., Koirala, P. and Tamrakar, A. S. 2010. Knowledge, practice and use of pesticides among commercial vegetable growers of Dhading District, Nepal. *The Journal of Agriculture and Environment*, **11**: 95-100.
- Simon Mburu, N., Thomas Matuku, M., Odipo Osano, P. and Moses Gichuho, C. 2013. Pesticide preferences and pattern of use along the shore of lake Naivasha, Kenya. *Greener Journal of Environmental Management and Public Safety*, **2** (3):115-120 PP.
- Singh, S. and Singh, S. 2007. Economic evaluation of pest management technologies for sustainable cotton production in Punjab. *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, **20**: 77-86.
- Sontakke, H., Baba, I., Jain, S. M., Saxena, R. C., Bhagel, A. K. and Jadhaw, V. B. 2013. Fecundity and fertility control of red cotton bug (*Dysdercus cingulatus*) by the extract of *Psoralea corylifolia*. *International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Sciences*, **4** (2): 633-635.
- Sundaramurthy, V. T. and Chitra, K. 1992. Integrated pest management in cotton. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection*, **20**: 1-7.
- Syed Ali, M. Y., Ravikumar, S. and Beula, J. M. 2013. Mosquito larvicidal activity of seaweeds extracts against *Anopheles stephensi*, *Aedes aegypti* and *Culex quinquefasciatus*. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Disease*, **3** (3): 196-201.

- Tanu Sharma, S., Ayesha, Q. and Absar, M. K. 2010. Evaluation of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) extracts against eggs and adults of *Dysdercus cingulatus* (Fab.). *World Applied Sciences Journal*, **9** (4): 398-402.
- Tholkappian, C. and Rajendran, S. 2011. Pesticide application and its adverse impact on health: Evidences from Kerala. *International Journal of Science and Technology*, 1 (2): 56-59.
- Uthamasamy, S., Kannan, M. and Mohan, S. 2004. Impact of insecticides on sucking pests and natural enemy complex of transgenic cotton in India. *Current Science*, **86**: 726-729.
- Vasantharaj David, B. and Kumaraswami, T. 1996. Elements of economic entomology. Popular book department, Madras, 103 **PP**.
- Wesseling, C., Aragon, A., Castillo, L., Corriols, M., Chaverri, F., De La Cruz, E., Keifer, M., Monge, P., Partanen, T. J., Ruepert, C. and van Wendel de Joode, B. 2001. Hazardous pesticides in Central America. *International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Health*, 7: 287-294.
- Yasuda, K. 1992. Cotton bug. In: Insects pests of vegetables in tropics, Association for International Cooperation on Agriculture and Forestry (Hidaka, T. ed.), Tokyo, Japan. 22 23 **PP**.

-----

#### A. Asaraja and K. Sahayaraj\*

Crop Protection Research Centre, Department of Advanced Zoology and Biotechnology, St. Xavier's College (Autonomous), Palayamkottai – 627 002, Tamil Nadu, India.

\*Communication author Tel: + 91 462 4264376, Fax: + 91 462 256 1765, E mail: ksraj48@gmail.com